Recommendations
Part 7.1

Recommendations to the Myanmar Government

Part 7.1 is addressed primarily to the Executive branch of government, and to a variety of government authorities, in particular the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environmental Conservation (MoNREC) (including both the Department of Mines (DoM) and the Environmental Conservation Department (ECD)). Some of the recommendations are also relevant to the Directorate of Investment and Company Administration (DICA), the Ministry of Labour, Immigration and Population (MoLIP), the Home Ministry, and state/regional governments. Some are also relevant to the Legislature.

1. Adopt a National Mineral Resources Policy; use it as the basis for new mining legislation, and for ensuring Myanmar’s mineral resources benefit local people and do not drive continued conflict

A National Mineral Resources Policy could define a vision and strategy for the sector, give greater clarity to potential investors and guide the adoption of new mining legislation, which should NOT take the existing legislation as a starting point. Ideally it should encompass gemstones, including jade.

A National Policy could be accompanied by State/Region Mineral Resources Strategies, where there is significant mining potential (e.g. Sagaing, Mandalay, Shan, Kachin, Kayah, Kayin, Tanintharyi), setting out the State/Region’s approach to mining in its development plan, identifying any ‘no-go’ areas, and addressing those areas in which they have delegated powers under the Constitution, and identifying any additional restrictions or taxes.

A National Mineral Resources Policy should:

- Draw on international best practice and effective mineral resources policies from other countries which have achieved a sustainably managed mining sector, as well as make use of international expert assistance.
- Involve cross-governmental coordination, particularly between DoM and ECD.
- Be developed in an open and participatory process, consulting business, communities and Ethnic Armed Organisations (EAOs). A Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) process as recently conducted for the hydropower sector with International Finance Corporation (IFC) support might assist this.
- Differentiate clearly in policy, regulation, and licensing between the phases of the mine lifecycle, in particular between prospecting, exploration and mining activity.
- Support the objectives of Myanmar’s peace process and the ongoing debate on natural resource federalism. In particular they should be based on principles of:
  - Clearly defining government roles and responsibilities at different levels;
Ensuring different levels of government have the capacity and resources to adequately fulfil their responsibilities;
Maintaining minimum national social and environmental standards despite subnational jurisdiction;
Promoting transparency over decision-making at all levels of government;
Creating platforms for discussion and information exchange between levels of government and across jurisdictions;
Including non-state actors such as local communities in decisions that affect them.

- **Guide the restructuring of the roles and responsibilities of departments and State-Owned Economic Enterprises (SOEs) involved in mining to establish a clear separation between the geological survey, cadaster/licensing and inspection functions.**

- **Support the development of a modern and unified Mineral Rights Cadaster, based on a unified cadastral database and cadastral maps.** The Cadaster, which is required for compliance with the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI), should have **exclusive responsibility for licensing**, including the reception and registration of applications, the verification of eligibility, checking overlapping interests, evaluating for granting or submission to granting authority and maintenance of the mineral rights (renewal, transfer, extension, expiration, etc.). Specific cadastral procedures for creation of gemstone tracts and reserved zones could be established, preserving the rights of existing title-holders and previous applicants.

- **Define evaluation criteria for decision-making in the award of licences** including the types of factors to be considered in licensing awards, and also principles for the weighing and prioritisation of different factors (e.g. to balance the interests of mining development and environmental protection). This could include consistent minimum spend rules depending on size of concession as a minimum amount of dollars to be spent per year in each granted hectare.

- **Address how to balance positive and negative economic, environmental, social, and human rights impacts** when reviewing licensing applications, and in negotiations and decision-making.

- **Build broader local and national understanding of options for sharing revenue** between the Union Government and states/regions, as well as maximising local benefits from mining (e.g. jobs, supply chain opportunities, infrastructure).

- **Address positive and negative impacts in the informal sector**, while recognising its importance for livelihoods, and identifying practical ways to formalise it.

- **Clarify and further separate the regulatory and commercial functions of SOEs** and take steps to ensure that these are subject to the same level of environmental and human rights scrutiny as private companies.

- **Consider phasing out Production Sharing Contracts (PSCs)** and instead using Investment Agreements while working towards greater reliance on a standard permitting regime and generally applicable law.

- **Examine the feasibility of a 'model mine agreement'** as a basis for contract negotiations which would promote greater transparency and consistency between the terms and conditions for different projects.

- **Clarify policy on mineral concessions in, or close to, Myanmar’s Protected Areas,** including the potential for 'no-go' areas for mining.

- **Help Myanmar meet its international obligations** including environmental agreements.
2. Simplify and align mining, investment, environmental and safety permitting, and the legislation which underpins it

The current licensing process is complex, lengthy and unclear. It does not reflect the differentiated nature of the mine lifecycle. It involves multiple departments and ministries and creates uncertainty for all stakeholders. It leads to poor implementation and enforcement, and a lack of transparency which reduces trust, and mining’s ‘social licence’. The above-mentioned National Mineral Resources Policy could be used to identify challenges faced by stakeholders, including those improvements which can be achieved under a new Mining Law. In the meantime, SWIA research has identified a number of permitting processes across Government, including at subnational level, which could be aligned and simplified.

These include:

- Rationalising the 100-pages+ of Mines Rules into several separate Rules, Orders and Guidelines on licensing, safety, artisanal mining, closure etc. As one set of Rules, they are too long and complex to be understood and easily applied. This would also allow for easier amendment and alignment with other laws and reforms, particularly of those Rules relating to environment and safety which are less dependent on achieving wider minerals policy reform.

- Close collaboration between ECD and DoM/DGSE of MoNREC, to align permitting processes under the Mines Law/Rules, Investment Law/Rules and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Procedure to ensure that these are rational, transparent, simple, consistently applied, and designed to address the impacts at different stages of the mining project cycle. This includes:
  - Making the EIA Procedure the sole determinant of EIA requirements for different types and sizes of mines and different stages of the mine lifecycle, to avoid regulatory divergence. The Mines Rules should simply reference the need to abide by the EIA Procedure.
  - Amending Annex 1 of the EIA Procedure to distinguish between requirements at prospecting, exploration, feasibility, operation and closure. Requirements should be appropriately scaled to the level of impact anticipated at these stages and the sensitivity of the area.
  - Threshold sizes for Initial Environmental Examination (IEE)/EIA requirements in Annex 1 of the EIA Procedure should be reviewed and made consistent with those used to define mining permits, as far as possible. The requirements and thresholds should be designed to discourage gaming of the system, e.g. subdivision of plots to avoid EIA requirements.
  - Making clear that a Myanmar Investment Commission (MIC) Permit is required only at the feasibility stage of the mine lifecycle, when the scope of planned investment is known.

- Rolling out standard guidelines for environmental, health and safety practices tailored to the phases of the mine lifecycle. This includes simple rules for prospecting, and simple rules and model Environmental Management Plans (EMPs) and sub-plans for exploration and for small-scale mining. This will be more efficient and facilitate companies and regulators to draw up, review, implement, and monitor EMPs and issue Environmental Compliance Certificates (ECCs). The rules should be developed and agreed through a cross-departmental process and with clear
accountability for inspection and monitoring. They should include a list of all relevant

- **Clarifying accountability for regulating occupational safety and health (OSH) on mining and accountability for inspection and enforcement.** At the moment, it is unclear whether OSH is to be addressed through the Mines Rules, inclusion of mining in the forthcoming Occupational Safety and Health Law, or EIA/EMP. There should be alignment and consistency, and clarity for mining companies and other stakeholders.

- **Requiring a Stakeholder Engagement and Communication Plan (SECP)** (see below) that combines the public participation requirements of various permitting processes.

- **Ensuring** that, if the concept of an *integrated mining permit* is retained, it makes clear what companies have permission to do, and the requirements for environmental or other additional permitting as the project progresses through the ‘stage-gates’ in the mine lifecycle, including a full EIA at the feasibility stage.

- **Standardising terminology** (either EIA, ESIA or ESHIA) across relevant environmental laws, policies and procedures for environmental and social impact assessment and management plans. ESIA or ESHIA is preferable, to emphasise the inclusion of social, health and other human rights impacts.

- **Ensuring** that companies are not required to obtain multiple letters of support from local authorities if these have no added value at that stage of activity, or basis in law.

- **Clarifying** the requirement for *prior permission* (as mentioned in the Environmental Conservation Law, Rules and Procedure). For example, by ECD in coordination with other authorities, publishing a list of permits issued by other regulatory bodies which could constitute prior permission, and the types of projects and activities for which an IEE/EIA/EMP will additionally be required.

Additionally, the current approach in the Mines Law and Rules to **size and length of mining permits** needs to be changed to align it with **sustainability objectives**. In particular, the current versions encourage amalgamation of multiple small-scale licences. This leads to unclear boundaries and lack of accountability for impacts. It also results in inability to mine deep resources efficiently or safely, due to lack of area for effective mine planning and waste dumps (see Advisory Note for Hpakant/Lonkin by Coffey/Valentis).

- **Licence sizes and lengths should be increased to at least the global average** to encourage more commercially viable and efficient mining, sustainable rates of extraction, and safer practices. This includes extending the size and length of areas for prospecting licences to incentivise acquisition of geological data, and cover a larger area, including through hi-tech, low impact technology such as airborne geophysics or remote sensing. The minimum size of the small-scale mining and gemstone licences should be increased to improve safety and environmental practices.

### 3. Address gaps and inconsistencies in environmental and social safeguards for mining

The legal framework for environmental and social safeguards in mining involves the Mines Law and Rules, Myanmar Investment Law and Rules, various environmental laws and standards including on EIA as well as other laws in place and under preparation concerning OSH, labour, land and the rights of Ethnic Nationalities, inter alia. These contains gaps, overlaps, and some requirements which are inconsistent with good practice. They can be addressed through legislative reform and permitting requirements. Action points include:
Environment

- **Ratification of the Minamata Convention on mercury.** Develop and implement a National Action Plan to reduce, and where feasible eliminate, the use of mercury and mercury compounds in, and the emissions and releases to the environment of mercury from, artisanal and small-scale mining (ASM) and processing.

- **Adopting environmental standards** under Articles 7 and 10 of the 2012 Environmental Conservation Law, to guide the establishment of EIA/EMPs. These should be realistic and based on the IFC Environmental, Health and Safety Guidelines for Mining, and other relevant international standards and frameworks (e.g. World Health Organisation). Where these relate specifically to mining (e.g. cyanide, mine closure) they should be coordinated between the Mining and Environmental Conservation Departments, and may be appropriate for adoption under the Mines Law. They could cover inter alia:
  - water use and quality
  - waste
  - air quality
  - hazardous materials (including cyanide, and mercury)
  - biodiversity
  - payment for access, or damage to ecosystem services
  - noise and vibration
  - energy use
  - visual impacts; and
  - site rehabilitation and mine closure.

Labour and Safety

- Develop mining safety regulation and standards under the Mines Rules, and/or Sectoral Rules under the forthcoming OSH law, which are consistent with the **ILO Safety and Health in Mines Convention 176**, with the aim of ratifying this Convention.

- **Include requirements in permits that licence-holders must meet international standards** (‘good international practice’) for mining-specific processes such as tailings dams, management of spills, site rehabilitation, closure and post-closure. Ensuring that these requirements are monitored, and compliance is incentivised by appropriate financial and criminal penalties.

- **Clarify maximum working hours and minimum rest time** for miners, in particular those working underground or in water.

- **Ratify the five remaining Fundamental ILO Conventions and develop a comprehensive and overarching labour law framework** in line with international labour standards. Extend protection to all types of workers, including daily workers. Strengthen the protection of workers involved in trade union activities.

- Remove the discriminatory prohibition in the Mines Rules on **women working underground**.

- **Define mining as ‘hazardous work’ for all children** in the list to be adopted to implement ILO Convention 182.
Land

- **Establish a coherent legal framework for land use in line with international standards** (such as the FAO Voluntary Guidelines on the Responsible Governance of Tenure of Land, Fisheries and Forests in the Context of National Food Security) and the 2016 National Land Use Policy. This may be as part of developing a comprehensive land law, or through the coherent amendment and strengthening of existing laws that are applicable to land use practices of businesses. This should ensure the protection of land use and ownership rights of communities and vulnerable groups, provide clarity around permitted transactions, and reform land-related dispute resolution mechanisms.

- **Clarify and simplify land classification and use procedures** to provide appropriate protection for farmers from unscrupulous land transactions and for food security.

- **Develop a national land register** that documents existing land use and ownership.

- **Reform the 1894 Land Acquisition Act** to align it with IFC Performance Standard 5.

- **Ensure independent third-party land valuation practices are applied for land purchase and other compensation regarding land and associated assets** (e.g. housing, crops) in transactions for mining projects and activities.

Communities

All permit-holders should be required under the ECC and/or Mining Licence to:

- **Respect human rights**, including of workers and communities impacted by mining and the specific rights of Indigenous Peoples.

- **Protect tangible and intangible cultural heritage** such as culturally important sites, cultural knowledge and use of medicinal plants.

Additionally, companies should be required (in the case of long-life large-scale mines) or encouraged to:

- **Assess and address potential impacts on ecosystem services**, including the use of natural resources by relevant local stakeholders and incorporate avoidance or mitigation measures into EMPs to protect those services.

- **Develop a plan for local employment and local procurement.**

- **Negotiate a Community Development Agreement (CDA)** with local communities.

Where companies undertake community investment/development they should focus on projects which are both community priorities and appropriate for company support. They should avoid religious projects, or ones which could contribute to corruption or conflict. Where possible and appropriate, community investment should align with national or local government development plans and strategies. However, government should discourage companies from assuming the government’s role as health and education provider.

4. Improve enforcement of laws and permit obligations

While a comprehensive legal framework is important, there needs to be greater awareness of the law by all stakeholders. Even more importantly, regulators need the capacity to implement and enforce the law. SWIA research found that weak enforcement is in part due to a lack of capacity of government departments, partly a result of lack of coordination amongst different departments, partly due to corruption, in particular at the local-level, and
also due to specific problems in contested areas. Lack of transparency, including of company obligations, is also a problem. Key points for improvement include:

- **Clarifying responsibilities of different government authorities** with regard to enforcement of environmental, social and human rights standards in the mining sector, particularly OSH and environmental management, as well as land issues.
- **Adequately resourcing and training all those inspecting mines.**
- **Improving the capacity of ECD to assess EIAs** and monitor commitments in EMPs, including at the local-level.
- **Publication by relevant government authorities of company obligations** such as contracts, EIA/EMP, and the Commitments Register of the ECC, to enable stakeholders – including communities and the media, as well as regulators – to hold companies accountable.
- **Ensuring that companies abide by all their legal obligations for disclosure.**

### 5. Strengthen processes for judicial and non-judicial remedy

Pending the reform of Myanmar’s judicial system, and even if and when it happens, there need to be effective alternatives to formal legal proceedings to ensure access to remedy is available to victims of adverse impacts associated with mining activities. It is also important that where rights-holders have grievances, they have the freedom to express them. The rights to freedom of expression, to information, and to freedom of assembly and association are not fully guaranteed in Myanmar. Restrictions on these rights need to be lifted in order to enable communities, workers and civil society to raise concerns about and engage with the mining sector.

Government actions which can support the right to remedy include:

- **Making it a permitting requirement for companies to set up appropriate operational-level grievance mechanisms** throughout the mine lifecycle, in accordance with the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs), and to publicly report on its operation through the six-monthly monitoring reports for the EMP.
- **Amending the Law Relating to Peaceful Assembly and Peaceful Procession** to eliminate the criminalisation of protests and demonstrations, and ensuring that those who peacefully protest against mining projects are not criminalised or threatened.
- **Recognising the legitimate role of non-governmental organisations (NGOs) and civil society organisations (CSOs),** whether registered or unregistered, in providing support to affected communities seeking remedy.
- **Improving opportunities for communities to obtain redress via the courts,** and as a minimum, not inhibiting this.
- **Adopting a modern Freedom of Information Law** and amending the Constitution to give a guarantee of public access to information held by the Government.
- **Raising awareness with mining companies of the legal requirement to establish Workplace Coordinating Committees** in companies of more than 30 employees.

### 6. Enhance public participation and transparency

The SWIA field research highlighted that communities were usually not engaged as part of the permitting process or during operations. When consultations occurred, these were not
inclusive, as only village leaders or elders were usually consulted. Key information about the mine, including EIA/EMP was not publicly disclosed. Consequently mines in some cases were in breach of legal requirements around public participation and disclosure and in all cases lacked a solid social licence to operate.

Various opportunities exist for improving public participation, some of them already legal requirements which are not being fully implemented. Actions for Government include:

- **Raising awareness with companies, local authorities and other stakeholders of, and strictly enforcing, the existing requirements in the EIA Procedure for public consultation and disclosure** to ensure all stakeholders have an opportunity to obtain information about mining projects and give their views. The draft Public Participation Guidelines should be adopted and widely disseminated to provide guidance on how to conduct meaningful engagement of people affected by mining projects, including women and at risk community members. Government should ensure that ethnic minorities have been fully consulted in EIA processes, including using local languages.

- **Recognising the legitimate role of NGOs and CSOs**, whether registered or unregistered, in public participation, including in the EIA process, and the support they can give rights-holders.

- **Rejecting EIAs which fail to demonstrate that meaningful consultation of local stakeholders has been undertaken**, or where the project proponent has failed to disclose the draft IEE/EIA.

- **requiring companies to have a SECP which should be demonstrated as part of the various permitting processes**. A single SECP, and its implementation, should combine the needs of various permitting processes, including legal requirements for public consultation and EIA disclosure, negotiation with local communities under the Mines Rules, and the requirements under Article 5 of the 2015 Law on the Protection of the Rights of National Races concerning the rights of Indigenous Peoples (hta-nay tain-yin-tha) to “receive complete and precise information about extractive industry projects and other business activities in their areas before project implementation so that negotiations between the groups and the Government/companies can take place”:
  - SECPs should prioritise consultation with regional governments and local communities, including ethnic nationalities and Indigenous Peoples. In the SECP, a company should provide details about its stakeholder engagement processes, including what information they provide to communities about their project, from the prospecting and exploration stages onwards.
  - SECPs should also provide information on the project’s media/social media strategy.
  - The SECP should be prepared and submitted to DoM/ECD at each stage of permitting, and at least annually or with any required workplan.

The Mines Law and Mines Rules do not currently promote transparency. Ongoing efforts to implement the 2016 EITI Standard are gradually providing a better understanding of the revenue contributions of the sector and permitting. However, information about the licensing process, permitted projects, as well as environmental and social impacts and financial management of the mining sector is piecemeal and inconsistent. Further steps are needed to improve transparency including:
Implementing the 2016 MEITI standard and fully disclosing taxes and revenues from mining projects, including disaggregated data on revenues from mining SOEs and transfers between government agencies, and data from the jade and gemstone industry.

Simplifying the currently complex licence types.

Publishing licensing requirements and evaluation criteria so as to reduce government discretion over decision-making and opportunities for corruption, and improve investor confidence.

Establishing standard conditions for licences (duration, exclusivity, fees, state participation etc.). This is necessary to avoid negotiations for agreements. International experience shows standard prefixed conditions are the best solution to avoid discretion, subjectivity and corruption, and increase transparency and security of tenure.

Publishing up-to-date information on permit-holders, including beneficial ownership.

Publishing Proposal Summaries for MIC Permit applications, in line with Myanmar Investment Rule 45.

Enforcing the requirement for mines with an MIC Permit to publish an annual sustainability report under Myanmar Investment Rules 196/199. These should include information about the operational-level grievance mechanism, stakeholder engagement, and community investment.

Enforcing EIA disclosure requirements and establishing an online register of projects undertaking IEE/EIA/EMP with links to relevant disclosed documents to enable stakeholders to track progress and commitments and hold companies to account.

7. Take steps towards formalising subsistence mining and reducing harmful practices

Subsistence or artisanal mining is an important source of livelihoods for many poor communities in Myanmar. It also has significant negative impacts on the environment. Workers and community members, including children, engaged in subsistence mining were found to be particularly vulnerable to abuses, ranging from poor labour conditions, exposure to hazardous substances, lack of access to adequate education and health services, illegal taxation and repression by companies and the authorities. Moreover, the informality deprives the Government of resources and contributes to corruption, illegal financial flows and criminality. A process towards legalising and formalising artisanal mining is needed to enable better government oversight, taxation and improved health, safety and environmental standards and security among subsistence miners. However, it must involve participation of the subsistence miners themselves.

The Government should therefore learn from experience elsewhere and:

- Remove all references to artisanal and subsistence mining from the 2018 Mines Rules, and put these together as a basis for developing a separate set of Rules tailored to the specifics of the ASM sector.
- Use the step-by-step process for governments on how to develop, implement and monitor an effective ASM Management Strategy contained in the 2017 Guidance for Governments on Managing Artisanal and Small-Scale Mining published by the InterGovernmental Forum on Mining. This could include:
  - Engaging with subsistence miners and other relevant stakeholders to develop a common vision/policy/approach to reduce adverse environmental and human rights impacts of subsistence mining and to progressively formalise the sector.
• **Simplifying the permitting process** – including with regard to environmental impact assessment and management – and taxation scheme for subsistence mining to encourage formalisation by making the process financially, technically and physically accessible and refraining from imposing further restrictions on subsistence mining without any accompanying measures for miners.

• **Supporting and encouraging the organisation of subsistence miners into cooperatives or associations**, including by facilitating access to credit and markets.

• Partnering with development partners and CSOs to **provide training to subsistence miners, including women, on OSH and environmentally responsible practices**. For example, providing financial and technical support, as well as training targeting women in particular, to promote mercury free processing alternatives.

• **Facilitating a dialogue between small-scale and large-scale permit-holders** on collaborative formalisation programmes. This could include developing guidance on model contracts between subsistence miners and concession-holders.

• **Implementing a programme of action towards eliminating child labour in subsistence mining**, including awareness-raising and development of education, in accordance with ILO Convention No. 182 on the Worst Forms of Child Labour, and in collaboration with relevant national and international actors.

• **Developing access to essential services, including healthcare facilities and schools**, in subsistence mining areas.

• Establishing programmes supporting alternative livelihood activities for **subsistence miners** in coordination with development partners and CSOs.
Part 7.2

Recommendations to Companies in the Mining Sector

Part 7.2 is addressed to all Myanmar and foreign companies operating in the mining sector, including both small-scale and large-scale operations.

1. Commit to applying international standards of responsible business conduct

Due to the pace of change, lack of capacity and experience among legislators and government ministries, there is no guarantee that once adopted, national laws will fully reflect the standards of responsible business conduct (RBC) expected of companies operating in Myanmar. In addition to providing companies with certainty at a time when the national legal landscape is in flux, using international standards also provides confidence to local and international stakeholders.

To demonstrate RBC, all companies should:

- **Establish a human rights policy commitment** (standalone or integrated into a wider code of conduct) which, in line with the UNGPs, should include reference to, at minimum, the rights outlined in the International Bill of Human Rights and the Core Labour Conventions of the International Labour Organisation.
- Make clear the expectation that the business, its staff and business partners will respect human rights.
- **Incorporate the UNGPs and other relevant standards in the company’s environmental and social management systems (ESMS), including for EIA.** Relevant additional standards are listed with hyperlinks at the end of each section in Part 5, together with other relevant initiatives, and include the:
  - IFC Performance Standards and World Bank Group Environmental, Health and Safety Guidelines on Mining;
  - International Council on Mining and Metals Sustainability Framework;
  - Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights;
  - OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises; and
  - OECD Guidelines on Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas.

2. Implement human rights due diligence

Under the UNGPs, companies are expected to carry out **human rights due diligence**. This means:

- **Identifying and assessing** actual and potential human rights impacts;
- **Acting on** and integrating the assessment findings into a management plan for operations;
- **Tracking and monitoring** performance in managing impacts; and
- **Communicating** that performance to relevant stakeholders.

It can be integrated into other types of due diligence procedures that assess and manage the company’s impacts on society and the environment, such as EIA, since social and environmental impacts are often impacts on human rights, viewed from the perspective of rights-holders.

To manage human rights impacts effectively, companies need to allocate sufficient human, financial and other resources in a manner that is appropriately scaled to their particular operations. Human rights should be managed holistically as part of core business operations, throughout the project lifecycle, and in business relationships (e.g. supply chains and joint venture partnerships). To ensure effective human rights management, companies should:

- Assign responsibility for human rights due diligence to senior management.
- Build internal capacity, and draw on external human rights expertise as necessary, to address specialist issues such as resettlement or Indigenous Peoples’ rights. External human rights experts or organisations could also be engaged to play a neutral third-party facilitating role in community-company dialogue.
- **Arrange for the periodic assessment and monitoring of human rights impacts:**
  - Undertake EIAs in line with the legal requirements of the EIA Procedure and ensure they address human rights impacts, and are fully disclosed.
  - Make information available to rights-holders in formats and fora that are accessible to them (e.g. publishing non-technical summaries, holding community meetings in local language).
  - Obtain feedback from workers, communities and other relevant stakeholders, and where appropriate, consider joint monitoring of operational impacts with local communities.
- Publicly communicate the results of due diligence:
  - Incorporate human rights issues into the 6-monthly monitoring reports required for the EMP.
  - Include results of human rights due diligence in sustainability reporting including the annual Rule 196 report to MIC for companies with an MIC Permit.

### 3. Identify and mitigate adverse impacts

This section identifies typical adverse impacts observed in the Myanmar mining sector including in SWIA research (See Part 4: Sector-Level Impacts and 5: Cumulative and Project-Level Impacts), and makes recommendations on how to manage those identified in human rights due diligence.

#### Land and cultural heritage
- **Respect communities’ land rights by:**
  - Investigating existing land claims prior to investment;
  - Recognising peoples' claims to land even where they might not hold formal land title certificates or other proof of such land claims;
  - Recognising and respecting communally used land;
• Pausing investment decision-making until land claims are effectively resolved; and
• Not interfering with judicial and non-judicial processes that community members may be accessing to raise claims against company use of land.

**Apply international good practice standards in resettlement planning and implementation** such as IFC [Performance Standard 5](https://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/6d8b6a19-ccc1-4c18-af57-3c78b17b4a03/Performance+Standards+Home+Page?MOD=AJPERPER#5) (Involuntary Resettlement); the UN General Comment on the Right to Housing and Forced Evictions (and the accompanying OHCHR Factsheet) and the FAO Voluntary Guidelines on Responsible Land Tenure.

**Determine and allocate compensation for damage to land and crops** through independent third-party valuation that reflects market rates and actual costs, and is determined in consultation with the applicable community members. Determinations of who is to be compensated and compensation amounts should reflect both physical and economic displacement and consider good practice standards, such as IFC [Performance Standard 5](https://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/6d8b6a19-ccc1-4c18-af57-3c78b17b4a03/Performance+Standards+Home+Page?MOD=AJPERPER#5).

**Survey cultural heritage as part of the EIA** in collaboration with communities and cultural heritage experts prior to beginning operations. Put in place measures to respect **intangible and tangible cultural heritage**. EMPs should contain a cultural heritage management sub-plan where relevant.

**Water and environment**

**Put in place environmental management and monitoring strategies and systems.** These should include: incident reporting systems; proper equipment for measuring emission levels and testing of soil, air and water; independent verification of environmental monitoring data as appropriate; guidelines on hazardous substances used in processing (e.g. mercury, cyanide); tailings management; and water use.

**Pay special attention to water consumption and impacts on water.** Evaluate proposed and/or actual water consumption, including with reference to community access to, and use of, local water sources, as well as potential cumulative impacts on water if other mining or industrial operations are active in the area and develop appropriate mitigation measures.

**Adopt and implement the International Cyanide Management Code**, a code that deals specifically with the use of cyanide in the mining industry.

**Allocate adequate budget and planning for site rehabilitation and mine-closure** from the start of operations, in line with new Myanmar legal requirements.

**Safety and labour rights**

**Have in place a functioning OSH management system** which at least meets the minimum Myanmar legal requirements (see Box 19 for guidance on OSH in Mining). It should include:
- Health and safety training;
- Free provision of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE);
- Incident reporting and investigation system;
- Tracking of HSE incidents;
- Escalation of serious HSE to senior management;
- Implementation of HSE risk assessments; and
- Mitigation measures.
- **Provide employment contracts to workers**, clearly stipulating terms and conditions, in accordance with Myanmar labour law.
- **Respect the labour rights of casual workers**, for example, that they are appropriately remunerated, do not work excessive hours and receive training on health and safety and are provided appropriate PPE free of charge.
- **Ensure company housing provided to workers is adequate** in terms of water provision and access to food, sanitation, light, air etc., and does not unduly restrict freedom of movement.
- **Do not retain workers’ identity documents or salaries**, including in the form of compulsory savings schemes which are not accessible to them.
- **Proactively ensure that union membership and collective representation are allowed and facilitated.** Companies should ensure that these are explicitly allowed in company policies and that workers are not in any way restricted from joining trade unions or suffer reprisal as a result of belonging to a union or engaging in collective bargaining processes.
- **Have a worker grievance mechanism in place** where workers can raise instances on a confidential basis. **Workplace Coordinating Committees** are a legal requirement for all companies with more than 30 workers, and can play a role in addressing systemic grievances.

### Women and children

Women and children frequently bear a disproportionate burden of adverse impacts caused by mining, including in Myanmar. SWIA research found child labour; adverse impacts on children’s access to school as a result of mining activities; lower pay for women workers than their male counterparts; and almost no community engagement opportunities for women.

- **Companies should combat child labour by:**
  - Putting in place a policy commitment against child labour.
  - Taking steps to avoid employing anyone who is under 18 years of age.
  - Taking steps, in situations where child workers are employed or tolerated, to develop a strategy for transition of these workers out of work and into education or less hazardous activities.
  - Taking care not to abruptly dismiss children from employment thereby likely causing unintended consequences, such as children entering equally hazardous work as an alternative livelihood.
  - Where companies subcontract to mine owners or have arrangements for subsistence miners to mine on their concession, they should engage subcontractors and subsistence miners in a dialogue about avoiding child labour, as well as how to reduce the presence of small children at mining activities occurring on the concession. However, companies should aim to avoid unintended negative consequences e.g. preventing women miners from earning a livelihood as they cannot leave their children elsewhere.

- **Companies should combat discrimination against women by:**
  - Practicing equal pay for work of equal value. Women workers should never be paid less than their male counterparts for performing the same work.
• **Practicing non-discriminatory hiring.** Avoid discrimination against women in hiring, including by ensuring that job descriptions and hiring processes do not specify that certain positions are open only to men.

• **Encouraging the engagement and promotion of women** workers through skills development and gender targets in hiring, as appropriate.

• **Actively engaging women community members** in community consultations which were usually found to be male-dominated.

### 4. Implement heightened due diligence in conflict-affected areas

The potential for human rights abuses is particularly high in conflict-affected areas, including those controlled by EAOs. Companies operating in these areas need to take additional care, for example, by:

- **Consulting widely with EAOs at both local and headquarters level, as well as with local and international NGOs** which have operations in these areas or expertise on them, in order to understand the current political economy and conflict context and significant human rights issues.

- **Reconsidering whether to operate in these areas at all,** given that it will be extremely difficult, if not impossible, to do so in a conflict-sensitive way and one which respects business integrity. This is particularly the case where the EAO is not a party to the National Ceasefire Agreement (NCA). Contracts may be illegal under Myanmar law, and put company personnel at risk.

- **Applying international standards of RBC,** including on anti-bribery and corruption.

- **Being very transparent,** including about payments to non-State authorities/EAOs.

- **Not adopting business practices which create conflict,** such as use of ‘CSR’ budgets to make payments (bribes) to elites and community leaders in return for their support or signatures, or promises of other benefits.

- **Ensuring that all operations and activities meet or exceed the relevant provisions of Myanmar law and regulation,** including with regard to environmental, social, labour and human rights protection.

- **Applying the Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights (VPSHR).**

- **Adopting IFC Performance Standards,** including PS7 on Indigenous Peoples.

### 5. Establish an operational-level grievance mechanism for each mine

Companies are expected, under the third pillar of the UNGPs, to provide a **remedy** or cooperate in remediating human rights impacts that the company has caused or contributed to. This may be done either through the company’s own grievance mechanism, or other grievance mechanisms (including judicial and non-judicial mechanisms, whether State-based or non-State-based). Having an effective company operational-level grievance mechanism which is accessible directly to individuals and communities can help companies to address adverse impacts early and effectively, before they escalate into major issues. Companies holding small-, medium- or large-scale licences should:

- **Establish an operational-level grievance mechanism** that meets the eight effectiveness criteria outlined in UN Guiding Principle 31 (legitimate, accessible,
predictable, equitable, transparent, rights-compatible, a source of continuous learning, and based on dialogue and engagement).

- **Develop the grievance mechanism in close collaboration with local communities** to ensure that it is appropriate for the local context.

- **Ensure that complainants are free to choose whether to use the company’s mechanism or remediation processes by State or third-party institutions.** Companies should be careful not to undermine the role of judicial remedy mechanisms or nascent Myanmar trade unions. Relevant State-based mechanisms for remedy may also evolve in coming years, such as Myanmar’s National Human Rights Commission or more effective local courts, and therefore the operational-level grievance mechanism should in no way restrict or limit access of complainants to such mechanisms.

- **Prevent retaliation against complainants inside and outside the company.** This includes refraining from intimidation or threats against individuals or groups that have raised concerns or grievances related to company operations and activities, as well as raising cases with the authorities where individuals peacefully protesting against mining operations are suppressed or mistreated by the police, private or public security forces, EAOs or others.

6. **Engage with stakeholders, particularly workers and communities**

   The SWIA research identified that historically, with a few exceptions, there was little interaction with communities around mining operations, either by the Government or companies. This is now slowly changing, including through the introduction of EIAs, and MEITI. Communities told MCRB they would like more direct contact with companies. To enhance engagement with stakeholders companies should:

   - **Identify and map relevant stakeholders and develop a regularly updated SECP** that outlines the processes for stakeholder engagement, purpose, response and persons responsible.

   - **Ensure engagement is more than only formal and legally required consultation meetings** e.g. those in the EIA process. There should be a broader strategy to engage workers, the local community, local government and civil society in ongoing discussions about the changing face of operations and their impacts.

   - **Communicate in a form and frequency that is accessible to local workers and communities, and does not put them at risk.** This will require an understanding of local ethnic dynamics, languages and appropriate communication channels, as well as identifying any literacy, cultural and physical barriers.

   - **Engage with local NGOs and CSOs** to understand local communities and their preferences, as well as important issues and legacy problems.

   - **Prioritise rights-holders in stakeholder engagement i.e. those directly affected by the mine.** Make special provisions to engage rights-holders whose voices may be marginalised, so that they can meaningfully participate. This may include women, children, people with disabilities and the elderly, minorities and the landless and illiterate.

   - **Establish effective ways to share information and promote community-company dialogue.** This may include the company having dedicated community-relations staff, ideally employed from, and well-known in, the local community. A company may also consider setting up a local community or ‘shop-front’ office where communities can come for information, apply for jobs and make complaints.
- **Build positive relations with local communities**, and obtain broad-based community support for activities throughout the life of the project, including through employment and training of local workers, and good consultation and grievance management.

### 7. Develop local content, supply chains and community capacity

It is well recognised that in addition to longer-term contributions to national revenue, the mining sector can create positive impacts in the shorter term, in the local area of operations if planned carefully and with sufficient company support. There are a range of opportunities for companies operating in the mining sector to contribute to more immediate positive impacts in Myanmar beyond the longer-term payment of revenue that will take years to materialise, including:

- **Developing short-, medium- and long-term strategies for addressing communities’ desire and need for jobs.** These may include supporting basic education and vocational training programmes for skills needed in the sector, including supporting women or other groups facing discrimination.

- **Developing social investment programmes with, for and by communities** which maintain a strategic link with the mining operations and ‘create shared value’. Programmes should support communities in developing their capacity to undertake needs assessments, and choose and manage small-scale development projects. Companies without qualified staff may wish to outsource this to an appropriate and properly skilled third-party provider.

- Designing programmes to be **gender sensitive and inclusive**.

- **Avoiding ‘donations’** which resemble payments to secure support of local elites and opinion-formers, including donations to religious leaders.

- For larger projects, considering negotiating with the local communities some form of **CDA** that covers the medium- and long-term relationship between the communities and the company.

- **Promoting small business and entrepreneurship programmes** to build subcontractor capacity and local supply chains for the mine.

- **Understanding local development priorities and activities** both by Union and local government, but also international development partners and EAOs. Information is available on [www.themimu.info](http://www.themimu.info).

- **Developing more systematic planning of quality project infrastructure together with the authorities.** Companies need to minimise adverse impacts on infrastructure (e.g. roads, schools, health facilities, waste) used by communities, and together with local authorities and in consultation with communities, work towards planning of quality infrastructure that can improve community livelihoods while also serving the project.

### 8. Support the formalisation of informal and subsistence mining

Part 7.1 (Recommendations to Government), and in particular Recommendation 7 outlined the case for formalising subsistence mining. Companies can contribute to this by improving the interaction between the informal and formal parts of the sector and:

- **Proactively engage subsistence miners to understand and address their issues.** For example, companies should include subsistence miners and mining activities in
feasibility studies, EIAs and EMPs, in particular where subsistence miners are operating on the mining concession. For more ideas, see Mining Together toolkit of the World Bank, ICMM and CASM and other guidance on ASM in Box 25.

- Partner with development actors and CSOs to support subsistence miners on OSH and environmentally responsible practices, such as mercury free processing alternatives.

9. Take collective action to improve responsible mining practices

Collective action by companies, or in some cases a multi-stakeholder forum, allows sensitive topics such as corruption to be broached but reduces exposure for individual companies. It promotes a level playing field, and allows for sharing lessons learned on applying international standards in other comparable countries. It is also more effective and less labour intensive for Government to deal with a group rather than individual approaches.

Opportunities for collective action by companies in the mining sector include:

- **MEITI**: In addition to participating actively on disclosure of data in line with METI requirements, companies – for whom transparency generally means an improved investment climate – should press for adoption of ‘encouraged’ and ‘recommended’ requirements under the MEITI Standard. This includes disclosure of contracts and beneficial ownership. In addition, international companies with EITI experience from elsewhere can share this.

- **VPSHR**: Depending on whether Myanmar develops an active pilot group, mining companies should participate and share experience of applying international human rights and humanitarian standards regarding security, including where this is relevant to the activities of Myanmar’s security authorities, and EAOs.

- **Promoting learning between Myanmar and foreign companies.** While the members of the International Investors for Mineral Development Association (IIMDA) may have more experience of, and company commitment to applying international standards, they should actively engage with the Myanmar Federation of Mining Associations, to improve the sector as a whole. Safety should be the priority for engagement. International experts such as EIA consultants and mining engineers should also commit to supporting professional development of Myanmar consultants.

- Working with the Mining, Environmental Conservation, and Forestry Departments of MoNREC to improve the EIA process including through providing business input into any future amendments or new legislation or Mining EIA Guidelines. Again, mining companies that have experience of international good practice EIA should deploy this in discussion, including with development partners.

- Participation, whether through Chambers of Commerce or sectoral associations, in cross-sectoral private sector dialogues with Government e.g. on draft legislation on issues such as labour law reform, taxation, safety, and corruption.

- Sharing baseline environment data, particularly around biodiversity and water, working with academia and international and local environmental NGOs.

- Working with Government, academia, EAOs and development partners to adapt education and vocational training programmes to build skills for the mining sector to address skills shortages over the medium term, through education, technical education and certification programmes.
- Collaboration on **programmes to support small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and supply chain development**, particularly with development partners.
- Collective action to support **formalisation of the subsistence mining sector**.
Part 7.3

Recommendations to Ethnic Armed Organisations

Part 7.3 is directed at individual EAOs, both those which have signed, and those which have not signed the NCA. Their relevance to EAOs will vary depending on the local context, the institutional strength of the EAO, and the interest the EAO has in seeing responsible mining in their area. SWIA field research in conflict-affected areas found significant human rights abuses and an unclear regulatory environment. The variety of authorities present focus more on rent-seeking rather than regulation of mining impacts.

EAOs with an interest in regulating mining, who are in a position to contribute to the debate, whether through formal peace and dialogue arrangements, or through public and media advocacy, could be more effective if they draw on international standards and experience. These are highlighted in Part 5 (Cumulative and Project-Level Impacts) of the SWIA at the end of each section. In addition, EAOs need a good understanding of the evolution of the national regulatory framework and its impacts (see Part 3: Legal and Policy Framework and Part 4: Sector-Level Impacts).

There is a significant reform agenda for mining underway at Union-level. This includes the possible establishment of a National Mineral Resources Policy (separate or including a Gemstones Policy), leading, ideally, to a further revision to mining legislation, as well as implementation of the decentralising 2015 changes. This, and the ongoing debate on natural resource federalism, is very relevant to both the development of responsible mining practices in ethnic areas, and the outcome of the peace process. EAOs are encouraged to develop specific positions and proposals on the roles and responsibilities of administrations and regulators at different levels; how to maintain at least minimum national social and environmental standards; and issues such as transparency, and local community consent, including protecting the rights of Indigenous Peoples and ethnic minorities.

1. Develop EAO approaches to mining policy and permitting that align with the national framework, but enhance local participation

- Study the evolving Union-level legal framework for mining outlined in this SWIA, including the 2015 amended Mines Law, 2018 Rules, 2016 Myanmar Investment Law/2017 Rules and the Environmental Conservation Law and EIA process, as well as the amendments to the Schedules of the Constitution in Law 45/2015 which further decentralised mining permitting and revenue raising.
- Engage on the bye-laws for the 2015 Protection of the Rights of National Races Law relating the requirement in Article 5 that Indigenous Peoples (hta-nay tain-yin-tha) “should receive complete and precise information about extractive industry projects and
other business activities in their areas before project implementation so that negotiations between the groups and the Government/companies can take place."

- Identify the opportunities in the national framework for **EAOs and other local stakeholders to influence investment decisions and regulation of mining**, and how these can be used to make real the ‘**interim arrangements**’ in Para 25 of the NCA.

- Develop, through a transparent and consultative process, an **EAO Vision/Strategy for Mineral Resources** in ceasefire areas which takes competing demands such as protecting **ecosystem services** into account, as well as safety, transparency requirements, and requirements for local revenue/benefit sharing and closure/rehabilitation. Such an EAO Strategy could be used to input into the drawing up of a **National Mineral Resources Policy**, and could be a part of the relevant State/Region mineral resources strategy.

- Where relevant consider strategies for mining specific commodities (e.g. **limestone** in Kayin/Mon/Shan; **tin** in Wa).

- Develop a position on the establishment of a nationwide unified **mineral rights cadaster** which addresses EAO interests, to contribute to the work taking place on this under MEITI.

### 2. Improve governance of, and standards at, EAO-permitted mining operations

At those sites visited where EAOs had influence, and in some cases were formally permitting and taxing mining activity, there were extensive environmental and human rights impacts, particularly from artisanal mining. While one EAO (the KNU – see Box 24) has mining regulations, SWIA field research did not find this for other EAOs.

- **Given the limited capacity of EAO administrations to regulate the environmental, social and human rights impacts of mining activities in conflict-affected areas, consider a pause on issuing any further permissions to mine** or renewals of existing permits (some NLD Chief Ministers introduced a similar pause in 2016).

- If new permits are issued or renewed, ensure that they contain **environmental, health and safety (EHS) standards** and that companies have clear guidance. The IFC’s EHS Guidelines (both General, and **Mining**-specific) are a useful reference point for EAOs (see also Part 5).

- **Enforce standards through on-site inspections, suspension of operations, fines and cancellation of licences where companies do not remediate failings.** This will require allocation of human capacity and financial resources to develop these regulatory functions.

- Support moves towards **formalisation of subsistence mining** in a manner which reflects its specific nature (see guidance material in Box 25).

- Take steps towards the reduction and elimination of **mercury** use in ASM gold mining (see guidance material in Box 27).

- **Ensure clear institutional separation between EAO/local governance structures and ethnic/local companies** to avoid conflicts of interest, as well as companies operating with an armed presence.

- **Adjust taxation approaches** to ensure that EAO revenue is tied more closely to production and company profits.
Introduce transparency over mining permits and income received to shadow MEITI. This includes:

- Ensuring greater oversight of local tax and fee collection.
- Publishing up-to-date information on permits issued.
- Disclosing all payments and EAO income related to these permits and projects.
- Ensuring companies receiving permits from EAOs are registered with DICA.

3. Protect the rights of communities affected by mining

Some EAOs have advocated effectively for communities where companies are having negative impacts. In some cases EAO-permitted companies have caused the problem. The relevant recommendations in Part 7.1 and 7.2 concerning consultation, grievance mechanisms, and building positive relationships with communities, including through employment and training of local workers are all relevant. In view of the armed nature of the administration it will be important to ensure that EAO security forces operate in accordance with the VPSHR, by including these standards in training of forces as well as any contractual arrangements made.
Part 7.4

Recommendations to Civil Society

1. Support local communities impacted by mining so that negative impacts are prevented or mitigated, and they obtain remedy

Many environmental and human rights impacts have been highlighted in Part 5 of the SWIA. Communities need the support of CSOs to assert their rights. CSOs need support from development partners to conduct effective advocacy, and an enabling environment in which to operate. Recommendations to Government in Part 7.1 highlight the important role that CSOs play in support to affected communities through advocacy and capacity-building, both in the EIA process and subsequent monitoring. CSOs could do this through:

- **Advocating for adoption by MoNREC of Public Participation Guidelines** that ensure that consultation processes are meaningful in terms of information provided, languages used, notice given and timelines, and full implementation and enforcement by ECD of the legal requirements on public participation and disclosure in the EIA Procedure.

- **Using the EIA process to drive more responsible mining.** To date, mining EIAs and EMPs have been developed without adequate consultation or input from affected communities, or disclosure in line with legal requirements. There is a risk that this will continue. CSOs need to develop the expertise to review EIAs and EMPs and submit comments on their own account, as well as helping communities to do so.

- **Participating in consultations,** and advocating for them to be inclusive of women and other excluded groups.

- **Building CSO and community capacity** to participate in consultation and ensure their rights are protected in consultation processes such as EIAs.

- **Undertaking awareness raising and training for communities** on practices with major human rights impacts such as artisanal mining, mine safety and child labour, independently or in partnership with companies, government or development partners.

- **Submitting comments on disclosed EIAs and EMPs,** and helping communities to do so.

- **Advocating for rejection of draft EIAs** which do not meet the required standards for public participation and disclosure.

- **Providing feedback to ECD on companies and EIA consultants** who behave unprofessionally or in a manner which creates conflict, or who fail to meet EMP/ECC commitments.

CSOs and NGOs can also provide support to communities in monitoring impacts and obtaining remedies, including by:

- **Undertaking community-based impact assessments,** which ideally should feed into formal environmental and social management systems and impact monitoring.
2. Advocate for relevant legal and policy reforms

The mining sector and related laws are undergoing significant reform which would benefit from civil society input. The aim should be build a legal and policy framework that leads to the avoidance and reduction of negative environmental and human rights impacts.

The programme to enable Myanmar to meet the 2016 MEITI Standard provides a framework for some, but not all of these reforms. It includes a number of ‘encouraged’ or recommended ‘options’ such as disclosure of contracts and disclosure of beneficial ownership which would be beneficial for Myanmar. Other issues need to be addressed through cross-cutting laws on safety, EIA, labour, investment permitting etc. CSOs could:

- **Undertake coordinated advocacy on relevant legal and policy reforms** with government, the legislature and companies, at Union- and State/Region-level. The Recommendations to Government outlined in Part 7.1 offer a reform agenda identified by MCRB, but there may be other issue or priorities for CSOs.

- **Conduct independent assessments** on the actual environmental and human rights impacts of mining activities to serve as an evidence base for advocacy.

- **Use international standards** in advocacy, such as those listed in Part 5.

- Use the toolkits that have been provided in Part 5 in advocacy and capacity building efforts.

3. Participate in multi-stakeholder initiatives and make use of the data and dialogue opportunities they offer

The main multi-stakeholder initiative of relevance is the MEITI in which civil society groups, supported by Myanmar Alliance for Transparency and Accountability (MATA), plays a full role. One role of civil society is to make full use of the data disclosed including with media, communities and parliamentarians to raise awareness of the sector and underpin reform.

Another potential multi-stakeholder initiative on the extractives industry, including mining, that may be implemented more fully in Myanmar through a local pilot group is the VPSHR.

If the Government proceeds with developing a National Mineral Resources Policy, it will be important that this is done through a transparent and participative multi-stakeholder process, similar to that being attempted for the Gemstones Policy, and that CSOs play a full role, reflecting the experiences of communities and other non-governmental stakeholders. The same is true for any reforms to formalise the subsistence mining sector.
Part 7.5

Recommendations to Other Governments

Part 7.5 is directed at other governments active in Myanmar, as development partners, and as home governments for foreign companies investing in the mining sector.

1. Provide technical assistance to strengthen environmental and social safeguards in mining to government, and to CSOs

Development partners are essential providers of expert technical and financial assistance, which is needed for transition towards a more sustainable mining sector, particularly for EIA. However, this needs to be coordinated, including between ECD and DoM, and based on qualified expertise.

- Provide technical assistance to MoNREC to strengthen and implement the framework for EIAs. This should include ongoing mentoring of MoNREC, technical assistance to develop EIA guidelines for the mining sector and capacity building for ECD to assess EIAs and monitor EMPs at the local level. Development partners should also support capacity building of local EIA providers.
- Provide technical assistance to the Government for the development of a Natural Resources Policy.
- Support the Government to strengthen its inspection capacity for labour and environmental protection including at the state/region-level.
- Support programmes to develop civil society capacity to engage effectively with the mining sector, including implementation of EIAs (see Part 7.4: Recommendations to Civil Society)
- Encourage the Government to include references to international standards (e.g. IFC Performance Standards and World Bank EHS Guidelines) in EIA Terms of Reference and permits provided to investors in the sector.
- Encourage enhanced transparency in the mining sector through continued technical and financial assistance to the MEITI.
- Support the Government in the reform of land laws.
- Support the development of education and vocational training programmes to build skills for the mining sector, and programmes to support SMEs to be able to provide goods and services to mining operations.

2. Provide technical assistance to formalise subsistence mining

Specific support is needed for the subsistence artisanal sector which has been neglected.

- Work with the Government, subsistence miners and other stakeholders to start a process towards formalising the subsistence mining sector which addresses human rights impacts and draws on international experience.
Support training programmes for subsistence miners, including women, on OSH and environmentally responsible practices.

Support the establishment of programmes for subsistence miners, including women, aiming at the reduction and elimination of mercury use in artisanal and small-scale gold mining.

Support the implementation of a programme of action towards eliminating child labour in subsistence mining, including awareness-raising and development of education.

Support better access to essential services, including healthcare facilities and schools, in subsistence mining areas and establish programmes supporting alternative livelihood activities for subsistence miners.

3. Support EAOs to address impacts of unsustainable mining in conflict-affected areas

Build capacity of EAO governance bodies to regulate mining, and enforce standards. Chapter 6 (Article 25) of the NCA recognises signatory EAOs' role in managing natural resources in areas under their authority. The NCA also authorises international development partners to support EAOs in such roles, in cooperation with the Government. The creation of protected areas should be a priority, and should be selected and managed in partnership with local communities. In many cases, EAOs have already established protected areas, the recognition and management of which should be negotiated with the Government.

4. Encourage foreign investors to invest responsibly in Myanmar

Home governments of mining companies operating in Myanmar should make clear they expect those companies to apply the highest standards of responsible business conduct.

Home country governments should proactively express their expectations of companies domiciled in their country which invest in Myanmar. This should include clear expectations that they should apply the UNGPs and, where relevant, the OECD Guidelines on Multinational Enterprises, the VPSHR and the IFC Performance Standards, in the absence of Myanmar laws that provide for a higher standard. Companies should also be encouraged to set up operational-level grievance mechanisms.

Include Myanmar, or at least the most conflict-affected regions, within the scope of due diligence for conflict-affected and high-risk areas under the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas and the European Union Regulation on conflict minerals.

Consider adopting financial and non-financial reporting requirements for companies operating in Myanmar where these do not already exist.

Encourage companies to ensure local benefit sharing, including potential for CDAs.

Map, support and strengthen community-based dispute resolution mechanisms in Myanmar.